

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,300	03/01/2004	Bruce Wayne Booker	BOOK 9341US	9351
POLSTER, LIEDER, WOODRUFF & LUCCHESI 12412 POWERSCOURT DRIVE SUITE 200			EXAMINER	
			DONNELLY, JEROME W	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63131-3615			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	
•	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2010	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/790,300	BOOKER, BRUCE WAYNE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	JEROME W. DONNELLY	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 4,5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4,5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 					
	_	JEROME DONNELLY PRIMARY EXAMINER			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate			

Art Unit: 3764

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ekstein.

Ekstein discloses a device comprising: a whistle a bag having a cover 943A) filler a plurality of compressible targets 9a nose and mouth) see col. 5 lines 62-63.

In regard to claim 4, as broadly claimed Ekstein teaches that it is known for a user to wear boxing gloves when punching a device such as Ekstein.

The examiner further notes that the specification and previous claims fail to provide an initial disclosure of a "means for actuating" this limitation is considered as new matter, although this feature has been addressed with the notation of boxing gloves. Applicant is required to provide support for this feature in the claims or specification as initially presented.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ekstein in view of Robin or Critelli or Neuberger.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,300

Art Unit: 3764

In response to applicants arguments directed to claim 5, the examiner applies the additional prior art of Robin which discloses a hose (34) connected to a target to transfer pressure.

Although it is considered by the examiner, as standard practice in the art, to connect pneumatic targets to other elements of a punching device, by hoses, to be well known, the applicant has requested additional disclosure that this feature is notoriously well known in the art. Support for this limitation is supplied as follows.

Ekstein discloses the device of claim 5 absent the disclosure of a hose connecting a target to an indicator/whistle.

Rubin element 34, Critelli (55) and Neuberger (42) all disclose pneumatic communication within impact devices between other elements of the device.

Given the above teaching the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a hose member between the nose member of Ekstein and the whistle of Ekstein as an obvious communication means between elements of a boxing device.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in

Application/Control Number: 10/790,300 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 4, means for actuating is considered as new matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571)272-4975.

Em/Jerome Donnelly

January 12, 2010

JEROME DONNELLY